

Operated by CDM and Associates

Sponsored by the U.S. Agency for International Development

1611 N. Kent Street, Room 1002 Arlington, VA 22209-2111 USA

Telephone: (703) 243-8200 Telex No. WUI 64552 Cable Address WASHAID

The WASH Project is managed by Camp Dresser & McKee International Inc. Principal cooperating institutions and subcontractors are: Associates in Rural Development, Inc.; International Science and Lechnology Institute, Inc.; Research Triangle Institute; Training Resources Group; University of North Carolina At Chapel Hill.

INTERNATIONAL REFERENCE CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY AND SANITATION (IRC)

GUIDELINES FOR
IMPLEMENTATION PLANNING
FOR GUINEA WORM CONTROL PROGRAMS:
AN APPROACH TO ASSESSMENT OF
COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND COST BENEFIT

WASH FIELD REPORT NO. 233

MARCH 1988

Prepared for the Office of Health, Bureau for Science and Technology, U.S. Agency for International Development WASH Activity No. 364

	*Y		,	•
			•	
			•	
				•
				_
				•
				•
				•
				•
				•
				•
				•
				_

LIBRARY, INTERMATIONAL REFERENCE CENTRE FOR COMMUNITY WATER SUPPLY AND SAMILATION (ICO)
P.O. Box 53190, 2509 AD The Hague
Tel. (070) 814911 ext. 141/142

SN: 4346
LO: 2453
SS GCI.

WASH Field Report No. 233

# GUIDELINES FOR IMPLEMENTATION PLANNING FOR GUINEA WORM CONTROL PROGRAMS:

AN APPROACH TO ASSESSMENT OF COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND COST-BENEFIT

Prepared for the Office of Health, Bureau for Science and Technology, U.S. Agency for International Development under WASH Activity No. 364

by

John E. Paul, Ph.D.

March 1988

Water and Sanitation for Health Project
Contract No. 5942-C-00-4085-00, Project No. 936-5942
is sponsored by the Office of Health, Bureau for Science and Technology
U.S. Agency for International Development
Washington, DC 20523

			•
			•
			•
		·	•
			•
			•
			•
	·		•
			•
			•
			_
			•
			_

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter	Page
GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS	iii
PREFACE	v
1. INTRODUCTION	1
2. STATEMENT OF PROBLEM AND APPROACH	3
2.1 Implementation Planning	3
2.2 Cost-Benefit Analysis	4
2.3 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis	5
3. PROGRAM DESIGN	7
3.1 Intervention Modules and Cost Estimates	7
3.2 Implementation Planning	8
3.3 Spreadsheet Implementation	11
4. APPROACH TO ASSESSMENT OF COST-BENEFIT AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS	13
4.1 Steps in the Process	13
4.2 Spreadsheet Development	16
REFERENCES	19
APPENDICES	
A. Example Implementation Spreadsheets - WASH Technical	
Report No. 38	23
<ul> <li>B. Example Implementation Spreadsheets - WASH Field Report No 231</li> <li>C. Example Cost-benefit Spreadsheets - WASH Technical Report No. 38 .</li> </ul>	33 41
D. Example Cost-benefit Spreadsheets - WASH Field Report No. 231	47

-			•
			•
			•
			_
			•
			•
			_
	•		
			•
			_
			•
			•
			•
			_
			•
			<del>-</del>
			•

# GLOSSARY OF ACRONYMS

CBA Cost-benefit analysis

BCR Benefit-to-cost ratio

CEA Cost-effectiveness analysis

GDB Gross Domestic Product

		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		,

#### PREFACE

These guidelines were developed from an earlier concept paper, WASH Technical Report No. 38, "Cost-Effective Approaches for the Control of Dracunculiasis," and from a field test of the approach in the Pakistan Guinea Worm Eradication Program. Additional details of the approach are presented in WASH Technical Report No. 38 and WASH Field Report No. 231, which describes the Pakistan field test.

The guidelines are not a "cookbook" for carrying out the implementation planning and cost-benefit approach that is described; however, it is hoped that host country mid-level analysts and program managers will be able to follow the general thrust of the approach and will be able to assess its potential for their needs. For interested programmers and planners with some experience, it should be possible to adapt the model software and approach to the particular conditions of a given program.

It should be noted that the model was developed using IBM PC-based spreadsheet software (available in either Lotus 1-2-3 or SuperCalc4 format) and is completely dependent upon the use of a microcomputer to generate useful information. It is beyond the scope of this report to provide instruction either in the use of microcomputers or in spreadsheet software. Access to and familiarity with an IBM-PC or IBM-AT or one that is compatible with them, as well as the appropriate spreadsheet software, is therefore assumed.

			•
			•
		,	•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			ė

## Chapter 1

#### INTRODUCTION

Implementation planning and cost-benefit analysis of guinea worm intervention programs are not inherently different than for any other health intervention. Guinea worm disease, however, has several characteristics that make it particularly amenable to intervention and thus attractive for analysis. These characteristics include, most importantly, the ease with which it is recognized and the vulnerability of its life cycle to interventions. As compared with diseases whose symptoms overlap with many other diseases, the emergence of a guinea worm is unmistakable. As compared with diseases that have multiple reservoirs from which infection can be transmitted, the guinea worm cycle is a "closed loop" consisting of infected human hosts and pools of water contaminated by the vector. The cycle can be broken with relative ease at several points, thus effectively interrupting disease transmission.

The disadvantages of guinea worm disease for diagnoses and control by health care workers show: (1) The long latency period between infection and symptoms, making cause-effect relationships hard to demonstrate; (2) the magical/evil aspects often attributed to the disease, making it difficult to convince affected populations that they can control its incidence through their own actions; and (3) the lack of any effective treatment for those already infected, which leads to low reporting of the disease and a lack of credibility for the health care profession in dispensing preventive information.

These guidelines describe an approach to using a microcomputer-based implementation planning model for guinea worm control programs and an approach to cost-benefit/cost-effectiveness analysis for these programs.

		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•

## Chapter 2

## STATEMENT OF PROBLEM AND APPROACH

# 2.1 Implementation Planning

A recurring problem in planning health and development programs is the implementation of these programs in the face of scarce resources and conflicting goals and objectives. It is assumed that many countries are unable to mount categorical or vertical guinea worm disease control programs. There are two exceptions to this, one in India, where there has been such a program for a number of years, and one in Pakistan, where the Pakistan National Institute of Health has spearheaded a drive to eradicate the disease by 1992.

However, it is not a foregone conclusion that categorical, vertically-organized programs for guinea worm control are necessarily the most practical or desirable from either a programmatic or financial standpoint. The large categorical program model for guinea worm control, although it provides examples of necessary program components, appears only partially applicable to West Africa due to severe financial constraints in many countries there. A more practical approach might be to integrate guinea worm control activities within other projects, e.g. primary health care, water and sanitation, and other disease control efforts such as those for schistosomiasis or onchocerciasis. Guinea worm health education efforts or a national guinea worm secretariat to coordinate information exchange among other ministries or implementing agencies may be all that a particular country can afford in the way of a dedicated guinea worm effort. In this case, it is all the more important that ways be devised to integrate guinea worm control into other programs.

The implementation planning approach presented in these guidelines follows standard systems analysis techniques (see, for example, Grubb and Loddengaard, 1981; WHO, 1976; Blum 1974). The approach assumes that there is a consensus on health goals and specific policy objectives regarding guinea worm disease control or eradication. Impact objectives would be the degree of control that is sought over a particular period of time or the time period intended to bring about eradication. Service objectives are defined in terms of the different modules presented below. It is at the level of resource and implementation objectives, however, where the most critical work has to be carried out. Tasks to reach service objectives must be specified within the context of country and program conditions, and broken out so that performance of the task and component sub-tasks is manageable. Resources necessary to

This report does not present a discussion or overview of the guinea worm disease cycle itself. For a general overview, consult WASH Technical Report No. 38, mentioned above, or other sources such as Hopkins (1983) or National Academy of Sciences (1983).

perform the tasks must be identified in considerable detail, and costs determined. Conscientious application of this type of approach should result in program in which:

- Budgeting becomes increasingly systematic and realistic;
- 2. Progress is easy to monitor; and
- Trouble-shooting becomes much more focused.

In addition, planning and budgeting for subsequent years is facilitated, and a straight-forward program end-point can be determined.

The model, therefore, is a method for defining a comprehensive guinea worm control program in terms of "modular" strategies, broken out into activities and tasks with specified task resources and costs. Existing projects and programs could select modules or parts of modules as add-ons. Alternatively, a comprehensive and dedicated guinea worm strategy could be developed by combining appropriate modules.

# 2.2 Cost-Benefit Analysis

Cost-benefit analysis (CBA) is a method of economic analysis customarily used to determine broad policies and gross economic allocations, either among sectors or within sectors. To carry out CBA, the various costs and benefits of policy options must be known or estimated. Moreover, they must be presented expression in monetary terms as a "lowest common denominator" to allow comparison of unlike things. When the costs and benefits occur over time (as they do in a health care program), they must be "discounted" to a present value or net present worth to allow comparison. The time period over which the flow of costs and benefits are considered is particularly important in an eradication program in which the costs are by definition limited while the benefits continue indefinitely.

The output of a cost-benefit analysis is a benefit-to-cost ratio (BCR) which expresses in numerical form the relationships between the monetary value of the returns (benefits) and expenditures (costs) of each policy or program. For example, if three policy or program alternatives have BCRs, respectively, of 3.23, 1.02, and 0.75, the first is to be preferred on the basis of the CBA because it returns nearly 3 1/4 times the expenditure. The second provides a near break-even on the investment; while the third costs more than the value of the benefits.

CBA can be used to provide a means of allocating resources between sectors such as road systems, public education, or health. Within a sector, CBA can be used to compare returns from investments in a rural versus an urban health strategy or between a program to control one disease versus another.

With regard to cost-benefit, health care programs are traditionally seen as a "social good," and justifiable on that basis. Health care programs also can be justified as a necessary investment for improving human capital or human resources which, given poor enough conditions, can be the restraining factor on other investments. Generally, however, health programs do not fare well under the close scrutiny of CBA. First, few social programs (health included) generate the easily-measurable returns that can be generated by many economic development programs. Second, economic analysis in health is difficult because health and disease are multi-causal in nature, and ascribing cause-and-effect and attaching monetary benefit to health outcomes are difficult. Finally, many true benefits of health programs, such as improvement of the quality of life, alleviation of suffering and grief, etc., are too intangible to be expressed in monetary terms at all.

The model demonstrates an approach to the assessment of the cost-benefit of guinea worm control programs within the data (and time) constraints that probably exist for conducting the analysis. In addition, relative BCRs can be used as criteria in cost-effectiveness determinations regarding alternative strategies, as discussed below. Finally, "rough cut" CBAs, if done conservatively and with explicit assumptions, can be useful for program planners and managers in presenting their programs to decision makers. Definitive assessment of cost-benefit requires substantially more data and expertise than is assumed for this model and is more properly the domain of economic planners rather than program planners or implementors.

# 2.3 Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Given a commitment to the clearly defined objective of guinea worm control or eradication (whether reached through CBA or some other method), cost-effectiveness analysis (CEA) in the context of this model provides information to answer the question:

Which of the available and feasible (i.e., appropriate) strategies can produce the desired outcome at the lowest cost?

Cost-effectiveness analysis requires clear specification of the anticipated results of each strategy under consideration and the estimated costs associated with each strategy. CEA presupposes that the level of benefits justifies the program effort.

In this model, CEA compares benefit-cost ratios for the different strategies. As described below, each intervention strategy is assigned an estimated "effectiveness" in controlling guinea worm disease. The BCR for the strategy is then determined by dividing the resulting benefit by the costs for the strategy.

	•	
		_
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•

### Chapter 3

#### PROGRAM DESIGN

It is useful in any program planning activity to break down the larger task into component activities. In the case of guinea worm disease, the following typology of activities or service objectives is suggested:

- 1. Epidemiologic surveillance;
- 2. Community participation/Health education/personal prevention;
- 3. Improved water supplies; and
- 4. Chemical control of the intermediate vector.

Two of these service objectives—epidemiologic surveillance and community participation/health education—are necessary for any general strategy to address the problems of guinea worm or, for that matter, virtually any public health problem anywhere. The other two components—community water supply and chemical treatment to control the vector—comprise technological interventions that normally are mutually exclusive and alternative approaches; however, they can be implemented very effectively in serial fashion—control of the vector by chemical treatment until such time as the water supply is improved.

By combining the first two activities with different combinations of the second two more-technology-dependent interventions, different strategies can be developed with different cost-effectiveness implications. It is intended that these four activities, elaborated separately as modules or combined as a broader-based strategy, could define an approach to guinea worm disease, either through a comprehensive program or in parts as cost-effective guinea worm adjuncts to existing programs. The costs for each of the two technological approaches are considered, both separately and in conjunction with the cost of the the epidemiologic surveillance and health education modules.

## 3.1 Intervention Modules and Cost Estimates

The purpose of breaking out approaches to the control of guinea worm into four distinct modules (with separate cost estimates developed for each) is both to define a comprehensive program and to facilitate integration of these elements with many existing rural development projects, whether these projects exist in the water supply and sanitation sector, the health sector, or the agriculture/rural development sector. Integration with existing projects would reduce costs of additional salary, per diem, fuel, transportation, and other costs from what they would be for a vertical program. It is possible to modify many existing projects, such as those mentioned above, in relatively minor ways which would result in these projects also being effective in the effort to

control guinea worm. It is further anticipated that relatively cost-effective project modifications resulting in a very visible program outcome would lead to the adoption of the necessary components by existing projects which would serve as the foundation for effective guinea worm control efforts.

Costing by modules can also show how recognition of the benefits of any one activity may extend beyond the immediate objectives of guinea worm control; i.e., a water supply intervention to control guinea worm disease will have large residual benefits beyond those related directly to control of guinea worm. Estimated costs related to guinea worm control alone are therefore provided for each of the modules by multiplying total costs by some percentage, i.e., that percentage devoted solely to guinea worm control. These percentages can vary widely, for instance, 100 percent (for the epidemiologic surveillance and chemical control modules) to 50 percent (for the community participation/community health education module) and 40 percent (for the community water supply module) of the total module's cost.

Finally, when considering costs, it should be noted that guinea worm eradication efforts differ from most other communicable disease programs in that, if ultimately successful, there are no long-term recurring costs. Guinea worm disease is unique in that effective programs can theoretically break the cycle of transmission in one year. Under active surveillance, "guinea worm elimination" is defined as 24 continuous months of complete absence of new indigenous (i.e., non-imported) cases (National Academy of Sciences, 1983). As discussed above, therefore, two years represents a program of minimum length and five years the required length of time for eradication of guinea worm in a particular region, country, or area, assuming no new imported cases.

# 3.2 Implementation Planning

There are five steps involved in designing or adapting modules to a particular country or program.

## Step 1: Defining Objectives

In this step specific service objectives are developed, such as establishing an epidemiologic monitoring system for guinea worm disease or establishing an ongoing effective system of chemical treatment of water supplies contaminated with the insect vector. Defining the objectives for each module helps set the parameters and focus for the activities within each module. Without clearly stated objectives the program effort can become diffuse, inefficient, and difficult to monitor.

## Step 2: Specifying Activities or Tasks and Defining Strategies

Within each service objective, the necessary activities or tasks to accomplish the objective must be broken out. Results from these activities are the resource and implementation objectives necessary for the success of the program. Examples from the epidemiologic surveillance program include:

 In-depth baseline surveys and establishing a system for follow up;

- Establishing a contact system with existing health authorities for the purposes of disease monitoring; and
- Appropriate data analysis and reporting.

With regard to community water supply, activities might include construction of a certain number of protected water supplies or establishment of an operations and maintenance system for existing systems. For health education, activities would include distribution of filters, and the implementation objective might be expressed in terms of coverage.

Once the activities within a module have been specified, putting modules together to form a strategy appropriate for the specific area requires knowledge of the particular areas and judgments about the appropriateness and potential success of different interventions. For example, in Pakistan use of the chemical ABATE to treat contaminated water sources was determined as more feasible for one of the provincial strategies than for the other two. Improving water supplies was perceived as rather minor for all strategies.

How the modules are combined into strategies can have dramatic cost implications, and the strategies may be modified as part of the feedback/iteration process, either during the implementation planning or cost-benefit stage of the model.

## Step 3: Detailing Activities and Costs and Developing Cost Estimates

After activities and tasks have been specified, cost estimates are developed by breaking these tasks down into the following resource categories:

- technical labor;
- transportation;
- training;
- 4. material: and
- 5. other.

In the Pakistan field test of the implementation model, three subcategories for technical labor are considered: (1) senior professionals/consultants; (2) junior professionals; and (3) clerical personnel/drivers. Salaries and per diem are the two areas of personnel costs that are considered in the model. It is desirable to limit the number of labor categories to provide sufficient discrimination among the types of labor in the field implementation of the project without making the labor categories too numerous and complex for planning purposes. Under different field conditions more (or fewer) categories than those used in Pakistan may be appropriate.

Within each of the resource categories, then, the appropriate units, unit cost, and number of units of services or commodities are specified, and the cost for that "line item" calculated. The units for labor may be in person-days or person-months, for example, and the unit cost would be the cost of one person-day or person-month.

Vehicle usage units could, alternatively, be in terms of kilometers traveled and a per-kilometer cost or in terms of vehicle-days and a per day cost. In the case of vehicles, the analyst has to consider whether or not vehicles are being purchased, rented, or "borrowed" from other agencies, and whether or not there is to be reimbursement for the use of the vehicles. Expenses associated with drivers must also be considered as well as transportation associated with training and implementation of the program in the field.

Similar detail with regard to the design and cost of training programs or spraying programs can be developed. Examples are provided in the appendices and discussed below. At the implementation planning stage it is important to require the complexity of task development and the many places where assumptions are made regarding availability of resource or costs.

### Step 4: Making Assumptions Explicit

In the planning of activities although implicit assumptions may be clear to those involved in the program, they rarely are for those outside who may be key to success. An example is the assumption that workers from other sectors, such as malaria eradication, will be available part-time to conduct spraying campaigns for guinea worm control or that EPI workers can incorporate guinea worm health education messages and materials into their routine. Similar implicit assumptions are also often made with regard to materials, space, and the availability of transport. Questioning all assumptions, making them explicit, and confirming them with the person/agency whose cooperation is required will go a long way toward their realization. It is important for program planners to remember that nearly all development programs are under-funded and their staffs overworked, and that other managers understandably are not as enthusiastic and committed to the goals of "outside" programs (whatever they might be) as are its sponsors.

Assumptions regarding national and local infrastructure are as important as assumptions regarding resource availability. With regard to guinea worm control, these assumptions might include the existence of adequate roads in the endemic areas to provide access for drilling equipment, the availability of primary health care for treatment of guinea worm symptoms, or the in-country capability of formulating ABATE sand granules or emulsifiable concentrate from imported active ingredient. Proposed strategies must be reconsidered particularly where infrastructure assumptions are found lacking.

## Step 5. Feedback and Iteration

Information received during the process of verifying assumptions may well call into question the reality/feasibility of some of them. Similarly, estimation of costs may indicate that the approach needs to be modified to meet budget

requirements, or that different levels of interorganizational or interagency cooperation need to be sought. Information gathered during the entire verification process should be used in the next, and perhaps immediate, iteration of the process, with further refining until it is felt that another cycle of refinement will produce information of only marginal value.

## 3.3 Spreadsheet Implementation

Sample implementation spreadsheets detailing activities and costs are shown in the two appendixes. In Appendix A, example spreadsheets are provided from WASH Technical Report No. 38, the original concept paper. These spreadsheets were developed with an inland West African country in mind and attempted to be comprehensive and "generic." Appendix B presents implementation spreadsheets from the 1987 field test in Pakistan and presented in WASH Field Report No. 231. These spreadsheets are substantially shorter and represent the adaptation of the larger, more comprehensive model to the realities of the country and program. The components of any endemic country's infrastructure will be sufficiently different that separate cost estimates for each program are necessary. For accurate cost estimates of any possible guinea worm control project or add-on to an existing project, the particular conditions of the endemic country setting and guinea worm control program must be taken fully into account. The cost estimates shown in Appendix A provide examples of the items that need to be considered, provide the basis for a cost-benefit analysis, and give a scale of their costliness in relation to each other and to other program costs with which the reader might be familiar.

For the generic (Appendix A) spreadsheets, cost information was integrated from several different sources, all originating in West African projects. Costs are developed for a program in 100 villages over two years with a program of epidemiologic surveillance, community participation/health education, and either community water supply or chemical treatment. It is assumed that there would be an average total population of 500 persons per village for a total of 50,000 people to be served. This program represents an immediate, short-term effort of the minimum length within which an effective guinea worm program could be expected. A longer (five year) program would represent an extended effort toward a more thorough guinea worm control. The extended program coupled with similar efforts in other endemic locales would also provide the means which might allow eradication of the disease.

Appendix B shows the implementation spreadsheets for one of the three provincial strategies proposed for the program in Pakistan. These spreadsheets resulted from the detailing of the particular strategy for a province and followed the general format of the spreadsheets in the original technical report. Such parameters as number of health education teams necessary were determined from the number of villages and the average village size, as previously determined through the national guinea worm search. Parameters relating to use of ABATE were also determined from available information relating to usual sources of drinking water. (This information was also critical in decisions regarding the appropriate strategic approach—whether or not to rely more on health education and filtration than chemical treatment with ABATE). The fact that there would be no water supply improvement costs, except for minor expenses for operation and maintenance, was an important cost

assumption in Pakistan. This was justified because improved water supplies are not feasible in the guinea worm-endemic areas and therefore are relatively minor in any of the proposed strategies. In addition, the short-term perspective of the eradication program in Pakistan does not allow water improvement programs that usually take several years or more from planning to implementation.

Supporting improved operation and maintenance of existing systems was emphasized as was encouraging the water implementing agencies (the Government of Pakistan Public Health Engineering Department and UNICEF) to perhaps focus on guinea worm areas for rapid implementation in current programs.

### Chapter 4

## APPROACH TO ASSESSMENT OF COST-BENEFIT AND COST-EFFECTIVENESS

## 4.1 Steps in the Process

## Step 1. Assigning Proportion of Costs "Chargeable" to Different Modules

An important concept suggested by this model is that of assigning or allocating only a portion of the costs of the intervention program to guinea worm control. The concept, as mentioned earlier, is that the benefits from any one activity may extend beyond the immediate objectives of guinea worm control. Improving water supplies to control the disease will also control other diseases, and additional economic gains can be projected. Similar reasoning can be applied to health education/community participation programs. However, in the case of chemical treatment with ABATE, the full costs of the effort must be "charged" to guinea worm since benefits to other health or development objectives cannot be expected to accrue from this activity. In the case of epidemiologic surveillance, the full amount would be charged to guinea worm if the surveillance were solely dedicated to this disease. If, however, other information is gathered or the survey covers other activities, then perhaps somewhat less than 100 percent should be charged to a guinea worm program. In the case of Pakistan, the first national survey was focused entirely on guinea worm disease; however, the second gathered information relating to other diseases. In the case of the first survey, 100 percent would be charged to the program; in the second case, perhaps 75 percent.

The proportions of the modules charged to guinea worm control from the original formulation of the model (WASH Technical Report No. 38) are as follows:

- epidemiologic surveillance--100 percent;
- community health education/community participation--50 percent;
- community water supply--40 percent;
- and chemical treatment--100 percent.

The assigning of such values is essentially a political decision, for an empirical study to accurately determine them would probably not be feasible, even if it were determined to be worthwhile. They can also be varied and examined in the context of a sensitivity analysis. What is important, however, is the concept that intervention programs for guinea worm disease, particularly in areas like Pakistan where the distribution is relatively limited, have substantial positive effects beyond just guinea worm control. Estimates, therefore, need to be made of the costs related to guinea worm control alone in order to be measured accurately against the benefits due to guinea worm control.

## Step 2. Determining Benefits and Valuation of Benefits

Benefits for disease prevention programs are usually considered to have two components: direct costs related to expenditures for health care and services delivery for the disease and indirect costs related to value of lost productivity due to morbidity and mortality. Because of the special characteristics of guinea worm disease and the characteristics of the areas where the disease is endemic, health care services related to guinea worm are both currently minimal and in many countries have minimal potential for expansion. Furthermore, cost-benefit simulations of health care alone for control of guinea worm disease were carried out for WASH Technical Report No 38 and were found to result in low benefit-cost ratios.

More important for guinea worm disease are the indirect benefits that would accrue from control of the disease through improved productivity. Guinea worm disease usually affects the adult population during the agricultural season and often results in total disability (i.e., non-productivity) for the individual for a significant portion of that crucial period. To the extent that one disabled worker is not substituted for by another healthy worker, the potential production of the worker disabled by guinea worm disease is a benefit that could be realized through control of the disease.

WASH Technical Report No. 38 and the follow up WASH Field Report present a methodology developed by Ward (1984) for estimating expected days of lost productivity due to guinea worm disease. Ward's methodology uses data on or assumptions about: (1) percentage of those afflicted who are totally disabled during the period of affliction; (2) the duration of the period of total disability; and (3) the annual incidence of disease symptoms among those 15 to 44 years of age. His calculations indicate that for every six percent of the population 15 to 44 affected, approximately one day of productivity per worker is lost annually.

In WASH Technical Report No. 38, the valuation of the estimates of working days lost due to guinea worm disease was made by multiplying these days by a per capita, per day, gross domestic product (GDP), adjusted for guinea worm-related absences and assuming that all productivity occurred during an agricultural season of particular length. Some of the restrictive assumptions in this method were: (1) all economic productivity affected by guinea worm disease was agricultural in nature; (2) all affected productivity occurred during the agricultural season; and (3) reported and published GDP measures were accurate and valid. Effects of the first two assumptions should cancel each other to some extent; the effect of the third assumption is unknown; however, it probably inflates the potential benefits due to the fact that guinea worm endemic areas tend to be poorer than average.

In the Pakistan field test, GDP measures were not available below the provincial level. Since wide variations are known to exist in productivity in some of the relatively affluent and guinea worm-free districts within the provinces under consideration compared to the poorer and more isolated guinea worm-endemic areas within the same province, a provincial-level GDP was felt to be inadequate. The search for a proxy measure uncovered district-level agricultural data, which provided information more accurately reflecting the actual areas affected by the disease. When combined with government fixed prices or prevailing market prices, a per capita per day agricultural productivity figure was obtained. This figure, when multiplied by estimates of days lost, yielded the estimates of potential benefits of guinea worm control.

It is necessary to emphasize the need for creativity in formulating proxy measures and perseverance in getting information. It is unrealistic to think that desired data elements will be available in any one place or in the desired format. Substantial ingenuity and "brainstorming" may be necessary to obtain data and come up with adequate proxies for this and other measures necessary for the analysis.

## Step 3. Calculation of Ratios and Indexes

The calculation of benefit-cost ratios and other indexes, such as internal rates of return and years-to-payback, becomes mechanical once the valuation of benefits and costs has been determined. (See WASH Technical Report No. 38 for an elementary definition of these measures. Several of the references to this paper go into CBA in greater detail.) An additional assumption for BCRs relates to the discount rate and time period over which the calculation is made; commonly the starting discount rate is taken as the current market interest rate, which is then examined through sensitivity analysis.

The resultant ratios and indices are useful at several levels. For the program planners/managers, they can be used to assess the cost-effectiveness of strategies, as discussed in Step 5 below. The results can also be useful in presentations to policy makers, funding agencies, and other outside groups to demonstrate that, at a minimum, benefits have been thought about and attempts made to quantify them in an assessment of the program. Unless done with a great deal more sophistication, either by or under the close scrutiny of a professional economist, however, working through this model does not constitute a definitive cost-benefit analysis. The goal of the entire exercise is primarily operational in nature, rather than economic or political.

#### Step 4. Cost-Effectiveness Assessment of Strategies

Cost-effectiveness of different strategy configurations is determined by the relative BCRs resulting from the simulated application of the strategy. Different costs are associated with different strategies because of the differing resources in each; different benefit flows can also be realized through assumptions about relative levels of effectiveness of a particular strategy in addressing the disease problem. For example, a strategy focusing on distribution of health education materials may be relatively inexpensive, but may also be less effective in controlling the disease, and therefore the proportion of total benefits realized will be relatively low. A strategy of improved water supplies would be very expensive initially, but would be highly effective in controlling the disease, thus returning the full benefit flow very rapidly. Despite much greater costs, the outcome measures for the water supply intervention could be greater than the simple health education intervention.

## Step 5. Sensitivity Analysis and Feedback/Iteration

In CBA, feedback/iteration takes the form of sensitivity analysis. Sensitivity analysis refers to procedures for estimating the errors in the cost-benefit outcome parameters by varying one or more of the input parameters. Commonly,

the analyst selects high and low values on a number of key parameters, including both economic parameters like the discount rate, as well as substantive parameters such as, in this case, disease prevalence or value of agricultural productivity. New outcome parameters are estimated, and the analyst can then estimate how "sensitive" they are to changes in the key input parameters. For example, we could find that small changes in estimates of disease prevalence make a large change in the outcome parameters, thus indicating that the epidemiologic surveillance systems need to be refined (and therefore probably more expensive). The opposite could also be true for that or other variables. When variables are recombined it is often possible to uncover patterns and relationships that lead to better understanding of the model and its assumptions and which can lead to further model refinement. The usefulness of dedicated microcomputers is at its most apparent when conducting sensitivity analyses, for the virtually instantaneous feedback allows many different scenarios and combinations of variables to be tested in rapid succession.

# 4.2 Spreadsheet Development

The microcomputer model links the implementation planning and cost spreadsheets with a spreadsheet projecting cost and benefit flows over time. The following is intended to provide an overview of the spreadsheets without discussing the detailed significance of the results. The emphasis is on summarizing the process rather than presenting the analytical results.

The cost-benefit spreadsheets from the original model (as shown in Appendix C) presented analyses relating to two different strategies (water supply emphasis versus chemical control emphasis) and did the calculations both in the context of limited health care availability and the absence of health care availability. Four different benefit flows and four BCR outcomes were thus determined. In addition, a BCR for health care alone (assuming health care availability) was also calculated for comparison.

In the case of Pakistan, the spreadsheets (Appendix D tables) are, as they were with the implementation spreadsheets, substantially less complicated due to simplifying assumptions and adaptation to actual field conditions. The first spreadsheet provides model assumptions and starting values for the three different provinces where guinea worm eradication programs are planned. Important differences among the provinces include total population (and population per village), disease prevalence, and agricultural productivity per capita, adjusted for guinea worm-related lost productivity. Level of intervention effectiveness and rate of implementation were assumed the same even though strategies with different emphases are planned. In addition, there is an assumption of no health care availability relating to guinea worm disease since simple health care provided by the intervention teams is incorporated into the strategy for each of the three areas.

The next four tables in Appendix D present program cost and program benefit flows over a period of ten years for each of the three provincial programs, as well as for the national program, which is the total of the three provincial programs. It should be noted that program costs extend no further than year six, with the actual interventions (community health education, water supply,

chemical treatment) being completed within three years. Production benefits will continue indefinitely, but are terminated in this analysis at the tenyear point. Benefit-cost ratios, by province, are calculated at a discount rate of 7.5 percent. The BCRs for the provincial-level programs demonstrate all three conditions: unfavorable, marginally favorable, and favorable. When taken together through totaling costs and benefits for all three sites, the result for the national program as a whole has a favorable BCR of 1.14. In other words, the discounted value of the estimated production benefits over a ten-year period from implementing the program to eradicate guinea worm disease would be 14 percent greater than the discounted costs of the field eradication effort over the projected six-year period.

The final table in Appendix D displays a selective sensitivity analysis which examines the effect of assumed annual agricultural productivity (a benefit factor) and number of villages to be treated (a cost factor) on resultant BCRs. In addition, the effect of considering a 15-year rather than 10-year time frame is also examined. Guinea worm prevalence figures could also be varied within a sensitivity analysis. They were not in this analysis because the figures came from a household prevalence survey in endemic areas and are felt to be quite reliable. Other tests of model outcomes, however, found the model to be very sensitive to changes in the disease prevalence figures.

				)
			•	•
			•	L.
			•	,
			_	
			•	ŗ
			_	
				)
			4	)
				)
				Þ
			_	
			•	,
			_	
			•	,
				_
				)
4				

# REFERENCES

		•
		<del></del>
		•
		_
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•

#### REFERENCES

#### 1. Guinea Worm Disease

- Duke, B.O.L. Filtering out the guinea worm. World Health, March, 1984.
- Hopkins, D.R. Dracunculiasis, an eradicable scourge. Epidemiological Reviews, 5, pp. 208-219, 1983.
- National Academy of Sciences. Opportunities for the Control of Dracunculiasis: Report of a Workshop, June 16-19, 1982. Washington DC: National Academy Press, 1983.
- National Institute of Communicable Diseases. Guineaworm Eradication Programme in India, Operational Manual. Division of Helminthology, Delhi. 1983.
- Sastry, S.C., K. Jayakumar et al. ABATE--Its value as a cyclopscide. Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 81, pp. 156-158, August 1978.
- U.S. House of Representatives. Eradication of Guinea Worm Disease. Select Committee on Hunger. U.S. Government Printing Office: Washington, D.C., 1987.
- Ward, W. The impact of dracunculiasis on the household: The challenge of measurement. Dept. of Health Education, School of Public Health, Univ. of South Carolina, 1984.
- WASH Field Report No. 231. PAKISTAN: Field Test of Implementation Planning and Cost-Benefit Model for Guinea Worm Eradication. WASH Project, Arlington, VA, February 1988.
- WASH Technical Report No. 38. Cost-Effective Approaches to the Control of Dracunculiasis. WASH Project, Arlington, VA, September 1986.
- World Health Organization. Weekly Epidemiological Record. Dracunculiasis: Global surveillance summary -- 1985. World Health Organization, January 31, 1986. (more recent summaries may also be available)

#### 2. Methodologies

This short paper by necessity gave just a simple overview of very complex techniques. Some additional resources are provided below.

## Systems Analysis/Implementation Planning

Blum, H. Planning for Health. Human Sciences Press: New York, 1974. (also has a chapter on cost-benefit analysis)

- Delp, P., Thesen, A., et al. Systems Tools for Project Planning. PASTIM: Bloomington, IN, 1977. (also has several sections on cost-benefit and cost-effectiveness analysis)
- Grubb, C.T. and R. Loddengaard. Establishing Goals and Objectives. Dept. of Health Administration, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1981
- Schaeffer, M. Evaluation and Decision Making in Health Planning and Administration. HADM Monograph Series Number 3. School of Public Health, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 1973.
- World Health Organization. Application of systems analysis to health management. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. Technical Report Series No. 596. World Health Organization: Geneva, 1976.

## Cost-Benefit/Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

- Conn, E. Assessment of Malaria Eradication: Costs and benefits. American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, 21,5, pp. 663-667, 1972.
- Mishan, E. Cost-Benefit Analysis. Praeger: New York, 1976.
- Sassone, P. and W. Schaffer. Cost-Benefit Analysis: A Handbook. Academic Press: New York, 1978.
- Sugden, R. and A. Williams. The Principles of Practical Cost-Benefit Analysis. Oxford University Press, 1978.

# APPENDIX A

Example Implementation Spreadsheets WASH Technical Report No. 38

"Cost-Effective Approaches to the Control of Dracunculiasis"

		•
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
-		
		•
		•

Table 1. Cost Items: Epidemiologic Surveillance Module Immediate Program—198 villages, 2 years, 58,800 people

Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (US\$)	<b>Number</b> of Units	Cost US\$	Comments
A. Baseline and Follow up Surveys						
(Screening surveys)	90% of sites	villages		180		All villages to be visited both years.
1. Technical labor						
salaries, perdiem						
a. Local, skilled	2 villages/3 days	person-days	5	278	1350	assume 10 local survey specialists (13-15 days/yr to complete)
b. Expatriate	1 consultant	person-days	300	16	5400	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost. First year only.
material development						
2. Training						
a. Local personnel	annual sessions	person-days	. 8	48	326	18 indiv, 2 days training, 2 years
b. Expetriate	1 consultant	person-days	306	4	12 <b>00</b>	
3. Transportation	fuel, oil, repairs;	km	.60	3600	2160	Operating costs only; assumes 4 MD vehicle or motorcycle
to conduct surveys	20 km btwm villages					availability.
a. Drivers	for 1/2 of surveyors	person-days	3	70	216	
4. Materials	•					
a. Training material	per surveyor	persons	4	20	88	
b. survey material	per village	villages	1	180	188	printing and duplic. costs (WR)
c. recognition cards	per village	villages	.58	180	98	printing and duplic. costs (MH)
5. Total, screening surveys	both years	villages		160	10330	
B. Baseline and Follow up Surveys						
(Indepth surveys)	18% of sites	villages		28		10 villages ea yr
1. Technical laborsalaries, perdies						
a. Łocal, skilled	1 village/3 days	person-days	5	68	300	3 indiv., 10 days to complete
b. Expatriatematerial development	i consultant	person-days		-	-	include in consultancy for screening surveys.
2. Training	annual sessions					
a. Local personnel	SIMMET SESSIONS	person-days	. 8	12	36	3 indiv, 2 days training, 2 years
b. Expatriate		person-days		16	70	include in consultancy for screening surveys.
3. Transportation	fuel, oil, repairs;	per sun udys	_	-	•	the range to compare our being selected payable.
to conduct surveys	20 km btwn villages		.68	488	248	Operating costs only; assumes vehicle or motorcycle
a. Drivers	for 1/2 of surveyors	person-days		400 38	290 38	availability.
d. UTIVETS	TUR TIE UI SURVEYORS	her sourneys	. s	36	ज्ञा	availausiity.

٠	
N	
σ	١

	a. Training material	per trainee	persons	4	6	24			
	b. survey material	per village	villages	2	26	48	printing and duplic. costs (MM)		
	c. recognition cards	per village	villages	. 58	20	10	printing and duplic. costs (MM)		
	5. Total, indepth surveys	both years	_		26	800	consultant costs included under screeening surveys		
	Total, surveys	both years	' villages		200	11790	cost per survey approx. \$68.		
	C. Liaison with health workers								
	and other public officials	(at district and natio	onal level)				to promote the use of improved reporting		
							and data collection regarding Guinea worm		
	1. Technical labor								
	salaries, perdiem								
	a. Local, skilled	existing personnel,	person-days	5	186	300	3 indiv; 38 days per year		
		part-time							
	2. Training/District Meetings	<b>i</b>					For health workers and other personnel		
	-district personnel		person-days	4	160	648	20 persons, 2 districts, 2 days, 2 yrs.		
	a. Planning costs		per session	196	4	400	district level training meeting (2 districts, 2 years)		
	3. Forms and material	initial year only		500	1	500	Design and produce hierarchy of reporting forms (CDC)		
	development								
ł	design								
26	production								
ۍ ا									
	D. Data analysis and reporting								
	1. Technical labor								
	salaries, perdiem		•	_	484	222	9 - 11 - 98 + 1		
	a. Local, skilled	existing personnel, part-time	person-days	5	180	906	3 indiv; 30 days/yr		
	2. Training								
	a. Local personnel	5 day session	person-days	8	15	120	First year only.		
	b. Expatriate	1 consultant	person-days	300	6	2400	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost. First year only		
	3. Reports and materials	per year		586	2	1000	preparation, duplication, and distribution (CDC)		
				Tot	 al	18650	Cost per village approx. \$187		
	Percent assumed "chargeable" to quinea worm control program								
	Estimate cost related to guinea worm control						Cost per village approx. \$187		

Table 2. Cost Items: Community Participation/Community Health Education Module Immediate Program—100 villages, 2 years, 50,000 people

		ļ	Unit Cost	Number	Cost	
Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	(US\$) o	f Units	US\$	Comments
A. Technical labor	salaries, perdiem					
1. Promoters	1 <b>per 10</b> villages	person-month	60	248	14400	village recruited; 10 promoters, 24 months
2. Supervisors	1 per 5 promoters	person-wonth	90	48	4320	2 supervisors, 24 months
<ol> <li>Training, commun. participation and health education</li> </ol>	n					
1. Community participation	3 day annual sessions	person-days	8	36	768	10 promoters, 2 supervisors per session. Includes salary
2. Health education	3 day annual sessions	person-days	8	96	768	and perdiem for travel days to/from training.
3. Expatriate	consultant	person-days	300	8	2400	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost. First year only
C. Technical training —community water supply —chemical treatment	7 day annual sessions	person-days	ð	240	1920	As appropriate for selected strategies. Includes salary and perdiem for travel days to/from training.
1. Expatriate	consultant	person-days	308	В	2400	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost. First year only
). Transportation						
<ol> <li>Training programs</li> <li>Un-the-job</li> </ol>	ave trans costs	per trainee	18	24	248	transportation per person, both years, for training
a. Motorcycle purchase	per promoter	per cycle	1000	10	10000	in .
b. Motorcycle operation	fuel, oil, repairs	per cycle	500	28	10000	operation and maintenance per year (MN)
E. Materials and Support						
1. Training						
a. Planning costs		per session	500	2	1000	
b. CP/Hith Ed		per session	100	5	208	
<ul> <li>Technical training</li> <li>equip and supplies</li> </ul>	CMS or chem control	per session	200	2	400	
2. CP/Hith Ed materialsposters, brochures, A/V	per promoter per year disease & intervention	specific	500	20	10000	resource and training materials provided to promoter
a. Mater. devel. & product.	new material	per year	2000	5	4000	W
3. Filtering sieves	monofil, sieve material	per sieve	. 88	5000	4888	\$4 per sq meter; approx 15 pieces sieve material
	other material	per sieve	.58	5000	2500	500 sieves per promoter; 50 per village
				tai	69316	Cost per village approx. \$693
Percent	t assumed "chargeable" to	Guinea worm c	ontrol prog	ram	50%	
	Estimate cost re	lated to Suine	a worm conti	rol	34658	Cost per village approx. \$347

Acti	vities/Items	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (US\$)	<b>Number</b> of Units	Cost US\$	Comments
A. I	lydrogeologic surveys		villages		199		
1	. Technical labor	salaries, perdiew					
	a. Local, skilled	senior professionals	person-days	30	158	4500	5 indiv, 30 days (2 villages/3 days)
	<ul> <li>b. Expatriate</li> <li>—hydrology</li> <li>—geology</li> <li>—sanitary engineering</li> </ul>	consultant team	person-days	380	26	7889	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost; 2 indiv, 16 days
á	<ul> <li>Materials and supplies</li> <li>—survey equipment</li> <li>—supplies</li> </ul>	for survey effort (first year only)		2500	1	2508	
3	L Transportation to conduct surveys	fuel, oil, repairs; 20 km btum villages	kar	. 68	2000	1200	operating costs only; assumes 4 WD vehicle availability
	a. Drivers	for 1/2 of surveyors	person-days	3	<i>7</i> 5	225	
4	. Total, hydrogeologic surveys	i				16225	
<b>i.</b> 1	ube well constr. and repair						
1	. New construction	at 35% of sites	wells		35		12500 per well (BF)
	a. Labor	salaries, perdiem					
	(1) Local, skilled —drilling —testing —pump install. —masonry —maintenance		person-days	5	3500	17508	10 indiv, 18 days per well
	(2) Local, unskilled	volumteer labor avail.	person-days		5250		15 indiv., 10 days
	(3) Expatriatesanit. engineeringmaintenance advisor	consultant team	person-days	386	36	19800	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost; 2 indiv, 15 days
	b. Equipment and materials						
	(1) Drill rigs	new or recondit. vehicle	per rig	100000	5	200000	cost based on new vehicle
	operators	local personnel	person-days	25	260	7000	4 days per well; 2 operators
	(2) Brill rig spare parts	i e	per rig	25000	2	50000	
	(3) Well test equipment		per well	200	35	7000	
	(4) Maintenance vehicles		per vehicle		1	12500	BF
	(a) Operation		per year	6250	5	12500	operation cost per year assumed one-half purchase cost.
	fuel, oil, spar	•	km				operation cost by distance travelled: \$2.50/mi = \$1.55/km (W
	drivers, mechan	nics local personnel	person-days				included in operation cost estimate

- 28

•	
۸	
ч	
1	

	(5) Handpumps		per pump	225	35	7875	
	(6) Equip. to install har	dpumps	per puep	100	35	3500	
	(7) Handpump spare parts		per pump	25	35	875	
	(8) Cement, other material		per pump	500	35	17500	50 bags of cement; reinf. bar and tools
	(9) Warehousing and stora	i <del>ge</del>	per year	500	2	1000	
	vehicle repair faci	lities					
	c. Total, tube well contruct	ion				348858	Cost per well approx. \$9444 (35 wells)
i	2. Well rehabilitation	at 18% of sites	wells	7955	19	79554	Assume 68% of the cost of new well construction.
<b>C.</b> 1	Dug well construction	at 45% of sites	per well		45		
	1. Labor	salaries, perdiem					
	a. Local, skilled		person-days	5	3000	45000	10 indiv, 20 days, per well, 45 wells
	digging						
	Masonry						
	pump install.	at 25% of wells	person-days	5	388	1500	5 indiv, 5 days, per well, 12 wells
	b. Local, unskilled	volunteer labor avail.	person-days		67 <b>56</b>		15 indiv, 10 days, per well, 45 wells
i	2. Equipment and materials						
	excavating tools	1 set per well	per set	200	45	9000	
	blasting and	•	•				
	aspiration equipment	at 25% of wells	per well	500	12	6888	
	-head frame, pulleys	at 75% of wells	per well	250	34	6566	
	handpumps	at 25% of wells	per pump	225	12	2700	
	equip. to install handpump	<b>15</b>	per pump	100	12	1200	
	handpump spare parts		per pump	25	12	300	
	-cement, other material		per well	500	45	22500	cement, reinf. bar, and tools
;	3. Total, dug well construction	)	•			36700	Cost per well approx. \$2149. (45 wells)
B. (	Capped springs	at 2% of sites	per spring	2149	5	4298	Assume costs in same range as dug wells;
	1. Labor	salaries, per diem					Site-specific surveys and estimates have to be made
	a. Local, skilledsurveyors	,,	persor-days				· •
	pipelayers masomy						
	maintenance						
	b. Local, unskilled	volunteer labor avail.					

--maintenance

.

- a. Survey equipment
- b. PVC, GI pipe and connectors
- c. Tools
- d. Comment and other supplies and connectors
- c. Tools
- d. Coment and other supplies

	• •						
E.		at 8% of sites salaries, perdiem	per system	2149	8	17192	Assume costs in same range as dug wells; Site-specific surveys and estimates have to be made
	a. Local, skilled		person-days				ore specific salveys and assumes nove to be made
	surveyors		•				
	sasonry						
	maintenance						
		volunteer labor avail.					
	maintenance						
	2. Equipment and materials						
	a. Survey equipment						
	b. PVC, GI pipe						
	and connectors						
	c. Tools						
	d. Cement and other supplies and connectors						
	c. Tools						
	d. Cement and other supplies						
	m nemons and nemes, authorities						
F.	Maintanence requirements	all systems	per system	100			
	a. Labor	•	· •				

r. maintenance requirements	att ekereme	per system	100			
a. Labor						
local, unskilled	volunteer labor avail.	per village		2		assume elected/appointed by vill committee
b. Training	3 day annual sessions	person-days	8	1286	9600	both years
transportation	average cost	per person	16	4 <b>90</b>	4000	aver. transportation costs to training sites, both years
c. Equipment and materials	per year	per village	75	400	30000	both years

Fercent assumed "chargeable" to guinea worm control program
Estimate cost related to guinea worm control

242248 Cost per village approx. \$2422

Table 4. Cost Items: Chemical Treatment Module Immediate Program—100 villages, 2 years, 50,800 people

Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (US\$)	Number of Units	Cost US\$	Comments
A. Baseline surveys		vill <b>ages</b>		100		feasibility for chem treat determined through village surveys
1. Forms, materials, tables		villages		80		assume 80% of villages feasible for chem treatment
2. Surveys a. Technical Labor —salaries, perdies		villages		80		map sources; estim. frequency and applic amounts
(1) Local, skilledagric. ext. workershealth workers	2 vill/3 days 5	person-days	5	150	750	assume 10 workers (15 days to complete)
(2) Expatriateentomologypublic health	consultants (2)	person-days	398	26	7800	Includes 3 travel days but not ticket cost; 2 indiv, 10 days
b. Transportation	fuel, oil, repairs;					operating costs only; assumes 4 MD vehicle or
to conduct surveys	20 km btwn villages	km	. 60	2000	1290	motorcycle availability.
(1) Drivers	for 1/2 of surveyors	person-days	3	75	225	
3. Survey training		person-days	. 8	20	16 <b>8</b>	2 days training, 10 indiv
4. Total, surveys for chem tre	atment				10135	cost per village approx. \$100
B. Village-level training						
1. Training days	annual sessions	per village	10	320	3266	2 day village training sessions, incl materials
a. Trainers	agri or health workers	person-days			3200	10 trainers; includes prep and travel time and expense
Equipment and materials     —chemical storage contained     —scoops, measuring rods     —charts and tables	rs	per village	25	88	2000	-
3. Total, village-level training	ng				8460	
C. Purchase of Temephos/Abate	purchase for 100 village	25				assume 100 cu meter mater supply (100,000 l)
1. Sand formulation (1%)		kg.	1.98	3000	5700	100 gr/cu. meter for 1 ppm conc., 3 applications
2. 500E liquid formulation	500 gm/1 active ingred	liter	16.1 <b>6</b>	120	1932	1 liter/500 cu. meter for 1 ppm conc

	Total  Percent assumed "chargeable" to guinea worm control program						1% sand formulation assumed  Cost per village approx. \$168 per year
4. Total.	, follow up and support	÷4				392 <b>6</b>	
3. Nater		for tech workers	per year	100	20	2000	forms, replacement supplies for chem applic.
2. Trans	portation		itan .				
b. Ter	chnical supervisors	·	person-days	6	98	720	2 supervisors, half time
	ric. or health workers	2 vill/3 days	person-days	5	248	1200	3 follow up visits per year relating to them applic (18 workers
sali	aries, perdiem						
	follow up and support ical labor						
4. 1068I	; storage and trans.					COOP	
a. Dr	ivers , storage and trans.		person-days	3	46	12 <b>6</b> 4665	
	portation	vehicle availability	km	.60	3200	1920	to deliver chemical treatment supplies
	tainers, material		per village	2	168	320	
lab	•	local, skilled	person-days	5	5	25	
2. Repact	haging for distribution						
Reg	ional/district level						
Nat	ional level	·					• •
•	ousing costs	28% purchase cost	per year			2280	for secure storage; assume sand formulation
Storage	and transportation						
2. locati	, liquid formul	purchase and transp.				1700	
	, sand formul	purchase and transp.				645 <b>8</b> 1962	
	quid formul.	5.49 kg/l	kg.	.25	120	38	
			kg.				

## APPENDIX B

Example Implementation Spreadsheets

WASH Field Report No. 231

PAKISTAN: Field Test of Implementation Planning and Cost-Benefit Model for Guinea Worm Eradication.

•			
			•
			_
			•
			•
			•
			•
			_
			•
			•
			•
			_
			•
	•		
			•
			•
			•
			ماو
			•

Table 1. Implementation Planning Spreadsheets for Cistern-based Interventions (HWFP)

Section 1. Cost Items: Epidemiologic Surveillance (100 percent of intervention villages; sample others)

Province:

NWFP

Population: 147610 Villages:

Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (Rupees)		Cost (Rupees)	Comments
A. Ongoing monitoring,	all interven. villages	villages		79		
intervention areas						
1. Labor	salaries, TA/DA		100	1000	100700	H = 2 = = 1 h = = 1 131 H H = 2 1133 H 47 H=
a. Village monitors	011	person-month	100	1896	189600	# of monit. per vill. * # of vill. * 12 mo.
b. Technical personnel	GW program staff					
supervision and review			(00	ne.	15000	48 of the Albandon with
1) Senior professional		person-days	600	25	15000	10 x , 1 yrs. (.1 *21 days/mo *12)
2. Training			488	241	244.00	
a. Village monitors	2 day annual sessions	person-days	100	316	31600	
b. Technical personnel	GW program staff	person-days				
to conduct training						
1) Senior professional			600	10	6000	
2) Junior professional			300	50	6000	
3. Transportation						
a. Supervision and review	min .l. 1. 3		245	nt-		
1) Drivers	GW prog. vehicle	person-days	240	25	6000	
2) Fuel, oil, repairs	GW prog. vehicle	per day	190	25	2500	•
b. Training			000	455	247.00	
1) Village monitors		per session	200	158	31600	
4. Materials	211 21		200	454	244.00	
a. Monitoring materials	per village monitor	persons	200	156	31600	
b. Training material	per monitor	persons	100	158	15800	printing and duplic. costs
5. Total, ongoing monitoring		villages			335700	
B. Monitoring of Surrounding Areas				4.0		3 A 19 131 . (BAN 5 1 3 191 3
(screening surveys)	sample of villages	villages		16		sample of surrounding villages (20% of interven. villages)
1. Labor	salaries, TA/DA					
a. Technical personnel	DII			-	,,,,,,	Abril 2 1:
1) Senior professional	GW program staff	person-days	600	25	15000	10% of time
3) Field teams	3 person team	team-days	1200	24	28440	I senior and 2 junior prof.
2. Training for field teams	annual sessions	team-days	1200	5	2400	2 day sessions
a. Technical personnel				_		
to conduct training	semior professional	person-days	600	2	1200	

Section 1. (continued) NWFP

			Unit Cost No. of		Cost	
Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	(Rupees)	Units	(Rupees)	Comments
3. Transportation						Operating and leasing costs; assumes 4 WD vehicle
a. Driver	for GW prog. vehicle	person-days	240	20	4800	,
b. Fuel, oil, repairs	for GW prog. vehicle	per day	100	20	2000	
c. Vehicles/Brivers	2nd and subseq. teams	per veh./day	600	0	0	
4. Materials	·					
a. Training material	per field team trainee	persons	500	32	15800	
b. Survey material	per team	persons	500	16	7900	development, printing, and duplic. costs
5. Total, screening surveys	·				77540	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Liaison with health workers						
and other public officials	(at district and provin	cial level)				to promote the use of improved reporting
1. Technical personnel	salaries, TA/DA					
a. Senior professional	GW program staff	person-days	600	10	6000	
2. Training/Public relations	• •	,				For health workers and other personnel
district personnel	salari <del>e</del> s, TA/DA	person-days	300	40	12000	assume 10 persons, 2 districts, 2 days
a. Planning costs	,	per session	5000	5	10000	district level training meeting (2 districts)
b. Transportation costs	GW prog vehicle	DET day	340	10	3400	•
3. Forms and materials	, <b>-</b>	per year	10000	i	10000	Design and produce hierarchy of reporting forms
production, duplication		. ,				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
4. Total					41400	
Data analysis and reporting						
1. Technical labor	salaries, no travel					
a. Senior professional	GW program staff		500	25	5000	10x time
2. Reports and materials	per year		10000	1	10000	preparation, duplication, and distribution
	• •				15000	

Total

469640

Table 1. Implementation Planning Spreadsheets for Cistern-based Interventions (NWFP) -- continued

Section 2. Cost Items: Community Health Education (100 percent of villages)

Province: NWFP Population: 147610 Villages: Unit Cost Number Cost Activities/Items Assumptions Units (Rupees) of Units (Rupees) Comments A. Technical labor salaries, TA/DA 1. Senior professional GW program staff 30000 person-days 600 50 20 \$ , planning, supervision, and review 2. Field teams 3 person teams 1200 237 284400 I sr. and 2 jr. prof. team-days --number of teams necessary: B. Training for field teams prior to field imple. team days 1200 18 21600 3 day training session --filter distribution As appropriate for selected strategies. Includes salary --health education/ and perdiem. personal prevention --community participation --chemical treatment --water system maintanence 1. Technical personnel senior professional 600 10 6000 includes planning time for training in Punjab and Sind person-days --to conduct training C. Transportation 1. Training programs ave trans costs per partic. 300 18 5400 transportation per person for training 2. Field work a. Driver 250 40 10000 for GW prog. vehicle person-days b. Fuel, oil, repairs for GW pros. vehicle 75 40 3000 per day 2nd and subseq. teams c. Vehicles 600 120000 per veh./day 3. Total 138400 D. Materials and Support 1. Training 5000 a. Planning costs 5000 i per session b. Tech. training materials equip. and supplies 5000 1 5000 per session 2. Health educ materials per village per year 1000 79 79000 development cost of health educ materials not included --posters, flip charts, etc. Filters (precut polyester) monofil, sieve material 32802 409372 US \$6.50 per sq meter; approx 9 pieces sieve material per meter per filter 12 a. Other material per filter 2 32802 65604 assume 1 filter/hsehld. (ave. # of fam. members = 4.5) 63200 per team per visit 4. Medical treatment kits per village 400 158 --bandgapes, simple meds. 627176 5. Total

Total 1182776

Province:	NMFP	Population	14761 Vi	illages:	в	
Activities/Items	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (Rupees) of		Cost (Rupees)	Connents
A. Hydrogeologic surveys	Assumed available from other sources			8		
B. Tube well constr. and repair						
<ol> <li>New construction</li> <li>Well rehabilitation</li> </ol>	at 10 sites at 2 sites	per well per well	80 <b>000</b> 0 320 <b>0</b> 00	4 2	316 <b>00</b> 00 505600	Per well 8 lakh rupees (NWFP PHED). Cost per well approx. \$4637 Estimated 40% of the cost of new well construction.
C. Borehole/Hand Pump Systems	at 3 sites	per well	31500	5	49770	UNICEF estimates (new program). Cost per well aprox. US\$ 1800.
D. Maintanence requirements 1. Labor	all systems	per system		8		
village-level	volunteer labor	2 pers./vill	. 0	16	0	assume elected/appointed by village
2. Training	2 day annual sessions	person-days	100	32	3160	training costs as for village monitors
transportation	average cost	per person	200	16	3160	aver. transportation costs to training sites, both years
trainers	senior professsionals	person-days	600	ક	3600	
<ol><li>Equipment and materials</li></ol>	per year	per village	2500	8	19750	
4. Total, maintanence					29670	
			To	otal	3745040	
	Less construction cos		other progr Net to 6⊎ p		3715370 29670	tube wells and hand pump systems by others (PHED and UNICEF)

Table I. Implementation Planning Spreadsheets for Cistern-based Interventions (NWFP) -- continued Section 4. Cost Items: Chemical Treatment with Abate (assumed for 13% of the villages)

	Province:	MIFP	Population	18451	Villages:	10	
Activities/I	tens	Assumptions	Units	Unit Cost (Rupees)		Cost (Rupees)	Comments
A. Baseline	surveys and initial ap	pplication	villages		10		feasibility for chem treat determined through village surveys
1. Technic	al labor	salaries, TA/DA					
	nnicians ılaria/health workers	1 day/village	person-days	300	0	0	at same time as health educ visit
2. Trainin	ng for technicians		person-days	300	0	0	incorporated as part of health education team training
3. Transpo	ortation						included as part of health education team field work
chem: measi spra;	ent and materials ical storage container uring rods, tapes vers, funnels, flags/j s, tables, log books		person	2000	1	9000	
5. Total,	survey and first appl	lication				2000	
B. Purchase	of Abate	50 EC liquid formulation					assume 1500 cm. meter total water supply to be treated per villag
1. 50 EC	liquid formulation	500 gr/l active ingred	liter	390	90	35100	2 ml per cu. meter for 1 ppm conc., 3 treatments/yr.
2. Transpo	ortation to POE	5.49 kg/l	kg.	40	0	0	assume delivery
3. Total,	chemical purchase	purchase and transp.	-			35100	
C. Storage at	nd transportation						
1. Warehow Natio	using costs onal level onal/district level	10% purchase cost	per year			3510	for secure storage; liquid formulation
2. Transpi	ortation	vehicle availability					to deliver chemical treatment supplies
a. Dri	vers		person-days	600	0	0	
3. Total,	storage and trans.					3510	
E. Follow up	and support (2nd and	3rd applications)					
1. Techni	cal labor	salaries, TA/DA					
a. Mal	aria/health workers	1 vill/day	person-days	300	0	0	2 follow up visits per year for chem applic; w/ hlth. ed. visits
b. Teci	nnical supervisors		person-days	600	0	0	
2. Transp	ortation						incl. as part of health ed. team field work (as for 1st applic.)
3. Materi	als	for tech labor	per year	500	10	5000	forms, replacement supplies for chem applic.
4. Total,	follow up and support	:	-			5000	
********					Total	456.10	

Total

			•
	•		
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•
			•

## APPENDIX C

Example Cost-benefit Spreadsheets WASH Technical Report No. 38

"Cost-Effective Approaches to the Control of Dracunculiasis"

		•
		•
		•
		·
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		<u>.</u>

Table 6. Cost Benefit Analysis Work Sheet; Mid-Range Assumptions Example Country: Burkina Faso

Section I: Model Parameters	Assumption	Comments
Population to be served	58808	189 villages, 589 population per village
Percent of population working	61.15	1975 census, UN Demographic Yearbook, 1982
Total productive population	39575	, ,
Disease prevalence, working popul.	<b>36.</b> 3	Percent (average prevalence over 100 villages)
Disease prevalence, total population	35	Percent (average prevalence over 100 villages)
Morking days lost/year	184979	1 day lost for every 6% of prod. popul. affect. (Ward, 1984)
Total GDP of served population	487 <b>8</b> 636	US Dollars; derived from 1983 national agri. GDP
Adjusted agri. SDP/person/year	148.28	US Dollars (adjusted for guinea worm-related work absences)
No. of days in agricultural season	128	
Adjusted agri. 60P/person/day	1.17	US Dollars; assuming all occurs in agri. season
Total production loss/year	216124	US Dollars
Intervention effectiveness, DWS	.9	Community water supply (CMS)
Interven. effect., chemical control	.7	Chemical treatment of water supplies with ABATE
Year 1 implementation factor	0	
Year 2 implementation factor	.25	
Year 3 implementation factor	. 75	
Interven. effect., hith care, yr i	9	Effect of treatment in reducing disease prev. (Kale, 1982)
Interven. effect., hith care, yr 2	. 1875	•
Interven. effect., hith care, yr 3	. 346	•
Interven. effect., hith care, yr 4	. 435	
Interven. effect., hith care, yr 5	.49	•
Interven. effect., hith care, yr 6+	.4975	•
Health care effect on days lost	.5	Effect of treatment on reducing work days lost
Cost of treatment per case	18	US Dollars (Guiguemde, et al., 1983)
Discount rate	.875	- · · · ·

Section 2: Costs of Interventions

[Totals include epid surveill and CP/hlth educ along with specific technical intervention]

	Epidemio. Surveillance Module	Commun. Particip./ Health Education Module	Commun. Mater Supply	Chemical Control Module	Total, CMS Inter- vention	Total, Chewical Control Intervention
factor "chargeable" to guinea worm	1.00	.50	. 48	1.00	(A)	(B)
Year 1	9325	17329	121124	16785	147778	43439 .
Year 2	6625	12529	121124	12865	148278	<b>320</b> 39
Year 3	6625	12529	8720	11718	27874	<b>388</b> 72
Year 4	6625	12529	6726	11718	27874	<b>38</b> 872
Year 5	6625	12529	8726	11718	27874	<b>388</b> 72
Year 6	6625	12529	8728	11718	27874	<b>306</b> 72
Year 7	6625	12529	8728	11718	27874	<b>386</b> 72
Year 6	6625	12529	8728	11718	27874	<b>386</b> 72
Year 9	6625	12529	8726	11718	27874	38872
Year 10	6625	12529	8728	11718	27874	<b>386</b> 72
Net Present Value (NPV)					488134	224685

Table 6 (cont'd)

Section 3:	Intervention,	Intervention,	No Intervention,	Benefits of CWS Intervention
Production Benefits	No Health Care	Health Care	Health Care	Given Health Care Available
With Community Water Supply	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F) [= (D) - (E)]
Year i	6	1 <b>666</b> 62	108062	•
Year 2	48628	148079	128324	19755
Year 3	145884	193156	145452	47784
Year 4	194512	218819	15 <b>50</b> 69	54950
Year 5	194512	21 <b>0</b> 613	161812	49688
Year 6	194512	21 <b>9694</b>	161823	48871
Year 7	194512	219694	161823	48871
Year 8	194512	21 <b>0</b> 694	161 <b>8</b> 23	48871
Year 9	194512	218694	161823	<b>468</b> 71
Year 10	194512	210694	161823	<del>488</del> 71
Net Present Value (NPV)	988822	1281886	1012968	268913
Section 4:	Intervention,	Intervention,	No Intervention,	Benefits of Chem. Control
Production Benefits	No Health Care	Health Care	Health Care	Given Health Care Available
With Chemical Control	(6)	(H)	(I)	(J) [= (H) - (I)]
Year i	8	1 <b>989</b> 62	108062	8
Year 2	37822	143689	128324	15365
Year 3	113465	182555	145452	371@3
Year 4	151267	197808	155069	<b>42739</b>
Year 5	151267	199591	161612	38576
Year 6	151287	1 <b>998</b> 34	161823	<b>386</b> 11
Year 7	151287	199834	161623	38911
Year 8	151287	199834	161823	38811
Year 9	151287	1 <b>996</b> 34	161823	38011
Year 19	151287	199834	161823	38011
Net Present Value (NPV)	769 <b>88</b> 3	1222127	1012968	209159
Section 5:	No Intervention	With CWS	Treatment Cost Rec	luction
Costs of Health Care		Intervention	Due to CMS Interve	ention
for Disease, Community Water Suppl	ly (K)	(L)	(M) (= (K) - (L	.)3
Year 1	315000	315000	8	
Year 2	255938	198352	<b>5758</b> 6	
Year 3	206018	66953	139857	
Year 4	177975	17798	<b>150</b> 178	
Year 5	16 <b>0650</b>	16065	144585	
Year 6	15 <b>828</b> 8	15829	142459	
Year 7	1 <b>58288</b>	1 <b>5829</b>	142459	
Year 8	158 <u>288</u>	15829	142459	
Year 9	158298	15829	142459	
Year 10	158288	15829	142459	

Table 6. (cont'd)

Section 6:	No Intervention	With Chem. Control	Treatment Cos		
Costs of Health Care		Intervention	Due to Chemic		
For Disease, Chemical Control	(N)	(0)	(P) [= (N)	) - (0)]	
/ear 1	315000	315000	8		
Year 2	255938	211148	44789		
Year 3	206010	<b>9785</b> 5	196155		
Year 4	177975	53393	124583		
Year 5	160656	46195	112455		
Year 6	158288	47486	110801		
Year 7	158288	47486	110661		
Year 8	158288	47486	110861		
Year 9	156266	47486	110001		
Year 10	1 <b>58</b> 288	47486	110801		
Net Present Value (NPV)	1371579	<b>76188</b> 3	<b>68969</b> 7		
Section 7:			. <del></del>		
Total Production Benefits	Community Water	Chemica:	Control		
Due To Intervention When	Supply (CMS)				
Mealth Care Available	(Q) = (F) + (M)	(R) [=	(J) + (P)]		
/ear 1	8		8		
/ear 2	77341	681	54		
 /ear 3	186761	14525			
/ear 4	215127	1673			
Year 5	194185	15103			
Year 6	191338	14881			
Year 7	191338	14881			
/ear 8	191330	14881			
Year 3	191330	14881			
Year 18	191330	14881			
tet Present Value (NPV)	1952615	81865			
Section 8: Resulting Benefit-Cost Ratios, mi	d-range assumptions			ernal Rate Return	Years to Payback
		<del> </del>			
Benefit-Cost Ratio	2. 47	(= NPV (C) / NPV (A)	. 3	47 ×	4
Denefit-Cost Ratio	2. 47	{= NPV (C) / NPV (A)	3	47 %	4
Remefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.)  Remefit-Cost Ratio	2.47	{= NPV (C) / NPV (A)	1	47 %	4
Demefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.) Demefit-Cost Ratio (chemical control,	2. 47 3. 42	[= NPV (C) / NPV (A)		47 ×	3
Remefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.)  Remefit-Cost Ratio (chemical control, no health care avail.)					
Penefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.)  Penefit-Cost Ratio (chemical control, no health care avail.)  Penefit-Cost Ratio			1		
Renefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.)  Renefit-Cost Ratio (chemical control, no health care avail.)  Renefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, w/ health care)  Renefit-Cost Ratio	3. 42	[= NPV (6) / NPV (B)	1	114 🛪	3
Cemefit-Cost Ratio CMS, no health care avail.)  Cemefit-Cost Ratio Chemical control, no health care avail.)  CMS, w/ health care)  CMS, w/ health care)	3. 42 2. 63	[= NPV (6) / NPV (B)	3	114 🛪	3
Denefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, no health care avail.) Denefit-Cost Ratio Chemical control, no health care avail.) Denefit-Cost Ratio (CMS, w/ health care)	3. 42 2. 63	[= NPV (6) / NPV (8)	3	114 × 56 ×	3

		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		_
		•

## APPENDIX D

Example Cost-benefit Spreadsheets WASH Field Report No. 231

PAKISTAN: Field Test of Implementation Planning and Cost-Benefit Model for Guinea Worm Eradication.

		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•
		•

Table 4

Cost-Benefit Worksheets -- Model Assumptions and Starting Values

	Province			
Parameter	NWF P	Punjab	Sind	
Population at risk	147,610	54,229	153,359	Estimates from GW Special/General Search (Spring, 87)
Percent of popul. working in agri.	66.75	66.73	61.75	1985-86 Dept. of Labor Statistics Govt. of Pakistan
Total population in agriculture	98,530	36,187	94,699	
Disease prevalence	1.46	4.14	3.20	Percent. Estimates from prevalence survey (Fall, 87)
Working days lost/year	23,976	24,969	50,506	1 day for ea. 6% of prod. popul. affect. (Ward, 1984)
Agri. prod/person/year	2,534	2,843	6,927	Rupees
Total agri. output (000 rupees)	249,684	102,866	655,996	From agricultural statistics and local prices.
Adjusted agri. prod/person/year	2,572	2,965	7,156	Rupees (adj. for guinea worm- related work absences)
No. of days in agricultural season	120	120	120	
Adjusted agri. prod/person/day	21	25	60	Rupees; assuming all loss occurs in agri. season
Total production loss/agri. season	513,805	617,024	3,011,919	Rupees
Intervention effectiveness	0.90	0.90	0.90	Overall expected effectiv. in reducing guinea worm
Year 1 implementation factor	0	o	0	All interventions
Year 2 implementation factor	0.90	0.90	0.90	"
Discount rate	0.075	0.075	0.075	

Table 5
Cost-Benefit Summary Spreadsheets -- NWFP Cistern-based Strategy

Year Following Project Start	Epidemiolog. Surveillance	Commun. Health Education	Commun. Water Supply	Chemical Control	Field Costs Alone	Non-Field Related Costs	Total Cost
Factor:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.42	(Rupees)
Year 1 (1988)	469,640	1,182,776	29,670	45,610	1,727,696	1,041,339	2,769,03
Year 2 (1989)	469,640	709,666	17,802	27,366	1,224,474	948,939	2,173,41
Year 3 (1990)	281,784	354,833	8,901	13,683	659,201	474,469	1,133,67
Year 4 (1991)	93,928	0	0	0	93,928	94,894	188,82
Year 5 (1992)	93,928	0	0	0	93,928	47,447	141,37
Year 6	0	0	0	0	0	47,447	47,44
Year 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Net Present Value (NPV)							5,639,74

Section 2. Production Ben	efits Province:	NWF P
(ear 1 (1988)	0	
Pear 2 (1989)	416,182	
ear 3 (1990)	462,425	
ear 4 (1991)	462,425	
ear 5 (1992)	462,425	
ear 6	462,425	
ear 7	462,425	
ear 8	462,425	
ear 9	462,425	
ear 10	462,425	
et Present Value (NPV)	2,703,943	

Section 3.	Outcome	Parameters	Province: NWFP
Benefit-Cost Ra	tio	0.48	Discount Rate: 0.075
Internal Rate o	f Return	-10 %	No. of Years: 10
Years to Paybac	·k	> 8	

Table 6

Cost-Benefit Summary Spreadsheets -- Punjab Pond-based Strategy

Year Following Project Start	Epidemiolog. Surveillance	Commun. Health Education	Commun. Water Supply	Chemical Control	Field Costs Alone	Non-Field Related Costs	Total Cost
Factor:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.15	(Rupees)
Year 1 (1988)	324,500	783,297	26,700	67,415	1,201,912	371,907	1,573,81
Year 2 (1989)	324,500	469,978	16,020	40,449	850,947	338,907	1,189,85
Year 3 (1990)	19,4700	234,989	8,010	20,225	457,924	169,453	627,37
Year 4 (1991)	64,900	0	0	O	64,900	33,891	98,79
Year 5 (1992)	64,900	0	0	0	64,900	16,945	81,84
Year 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	•
Year 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Net Present Value (NPV)							3,129,63

ection 2. Production Be	nefits	Province:	Punjab
ear 1 (1988)	0		
ear 2 (1989)	499,790		
ear 3 (1990)	555,322		
ear 4 (1991)	555,322		
ear 5 (1992)	555,322		
ear 6	555,322		
ear 7	555,322		
ear 8	555,322		
ear 9	555,322		
ear 10	555,322		
et Present Value (NPV)	3,247,142		

Section 3.	Outcome	Parameters	Province:	Punjab
Benefit-Cost Ratio		1.04		Discount Rate: 0.07
Internal Rate of R	eturn	9 %		No. of Years: 10
Years to Payback		8		

Table 7

Cost~Benefit Summary Spreadsheets -- Sind Tarai-based Strategy

Year Following Project Start	Epidemiolog. Surveillance	Commun. Health Education	Commun. Water Supply	Chemical Control	Field Costs Alone	Non-Field Related Costs	Total Cost
Factor:	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.44	(Rupees)
Year 1 (1988)	826,380	2,576,075	0	911,322	4,313,777	1,066,133	5,379,91
Year 2 (1989)	826,380	1,545,645	0	546,793	2,918,818	971,533	3,890,35
Year 3 (1990)	495,828	772,823	0	273,397	1,542,047	485,766	2,027,81
Year 4 (1991)	165,276	0	0	0	165,276	97,153	262,42
Year 5 (1992)	165,276	0	0	0	165,276	48,577	213,85
Year 6	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Year 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	;
Year 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Year 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Year 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Net Present Value (NPV)							10,348,79
Section 2. Production Be		e: Sind			:		

Section 2. Production Ben	efits Province:	Sind
Year 1 (1988)	0	
Year 2 (1989)	2,439,655	
Year 3 (1990)	2,710,727	
Year 4 (1991)	2,710,727	
Year 5 (1992)	2,710,727	
Year 6	2,710,727	
Year 7	2,710,727	
Year 8	2,710,727	
Year 9	2,710,727	
Year 10	2,710,727	
Net Present Value (NPV)	15,850,477	

Section 3.	Outcome	Parameters	Province:	Sind	
Benefit-Cost Ra	tio	1.53		Discount Rate:	0.075
Internal Rate o	f Return	21 %		No. of Years:	10
Years to Paybac	k	7			

Table 8
Merged Cost Spreadsheets/Joint Cost-Benefit Analysis

Section 1.

NWFP, Punjab, and Sind Intervention Programs
Total (Joint) Program Costs (Rupees)

Year	Epidemi. Surveillance	Commun. Health Education	Commun. Water Supply	Chemical Control	Field Costs Alone	Non-Field Related Costs	Total Costs (Rupees)
Year 1 (1988)	1,620,520	4,542,148	56,370	1,024,347	7,243,385	2,479,378	9,722,763
Year 2 (1989)	1,620,520	2,725,289	33,822	614,608	4,994,239	2,259,378	7,253,617
Year 3 (1990)	972,312	1,362,644	16,911	307,304	2,659,172	1,129,689	3,788,861
Year 4 (1991)	324,104	0	0	0	324,104	225,938	550,042
Year 5 (1992)	324,104	0	0	0	324,104	112,969	437,073
Year 6	0	0	0	. 0	0	47,447	47,447
Year 7	0	0	0	0	0	0	d
Year 8	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Year 9	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Year 10	0	0	0	0	0	0	C
Net Present Value (	NPV)						19,118,168

Section 2. Joint Production Benefits	Rupees
Year 1 (1988)	0
Year 2 (1989)	3,355,626
Year 3 (1990)	3,728,474
Year 4 (1991)	3,728,474
Year 5 (1992)	3,728,474
Year 6	3,728,474
Year 7	3,728,474
Year 8	3,728,474
Year 9	3,728,474
Year 10	3,728,474
Net Present Value (NPV)	21,801,562

Section 3. Joint Outcome Parameters	10 Year Time Frame	15 Year Time Frame	
Benefit-Cost Ratio	1.14	1.52	Discount Rate: 0.075
Internal Rate of Retur	n 11 %	17 %	
Years to Payback	9	9	

Table 9

Sensitivity Analysis
Pakistan Guinea Worm Control Programme

## I. Number of villages determined from national search data

Province	Assumed GW preval. in Population	No. of Vill.	Assumed annual adj. agri. prod. (Rp.)	10-year time frame BCR IRR	15-year time frame BCR
NWFP	1.46%	79	2543 * 3543 5300	0.48 -10% 0.67 - 3% 1.00 8%	0.64 0.90 1.34
Punjab	4.14%	70	2843 * 3843	1.04 9% 1.40 18%	1.39 1.87
Sind	3.20%	252	4510 5927 6927 *	1.00 7% 1.31 16% 1.53 22%	1.33 1.76 2.05

Joint (overall) 10-year BCR using \*'d values = 1.14. IRR 11 %.

II. Decreasing number of villages to be treated by 25% (following case-counting implications)

Province	Assumed GW preval. in Population	No. of Vill.	Assumed annual per cap. GDP (Rup.)	10-ye time i BCR		15-year time frame BCR
NWFP	1.46%	60	2543 3543	0.53 0.73	- 8% - 1%	0.70 0.98
Punjab	4.14%	<b>5</b> 3	2843 3843	1.18 1.60	13% 23%	1.58 2.14
Sind	3.20%	190	5927 6927	1.58 1.84	23% 29%	2.11 2.46

Notes: Benefit-cost ratios estimated using a 7.5 percent discount rate.

Guinea worm prevalence figures are from the case-counting study, Sept.-Oct. 1987.

For reference, the annual GDP per capita in Pakistan, agricultural sector (1983): 4828 rupees (World Bank, World Development Report)

	•	•			
_					
•					
<b>A</b>					
•					
_					
Ţ					
<u> </u>					
<b>T</b>					
_					
•					
<u> </u>					
lacksquare					
_					
_					
•					
-					
_					



ŝ